

# Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects

by A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard

*Reviewed by John Preskill*

*28 March 1996*

One of the most ironic twists of twentieth century science, and one of the most exciting and productive, has been the merging of the study of the very large and the very small—the unification of particle physics and cosmology. Particle physics traditionally addresses the structure of matter at the shortest accessible distances (or, equivalently, at the highest possible energies). Cosmology concerns the structure and the evolution of the whole universe. Particle physics has had great success over the past 30 years. A “standard model” is now firmly in place that can account for all of the available experimental evidence. But the standard model is not a final theory; it leaves unanswered too many fundamental questions. Only by pressing on to study physics at still shorter distances and higher energies (physics beyond the standard model) can these final mysteries be resolved. Cosmology has also had great success over the past 30 years. A “standard model” is in place that gives a reasonable (though perhaps not fully satisfactory) account of the available observations. But the standard model is not a final theory; it leaves unanswered too many questions, especially questions about the “initial” conditions that applied in the very early universe. Only by pressing on to study the history of the universe at still earlier times can these final mysteries be resolved. But at earlier times the universe was hotter, and we can extend the study of the universe to the very earliest times only by considering the behavior of matter at the very highest energies. Thus, with the deepest questions about particle

physics pointing us to ever smaller distances, and the deepest questions about cosmology pointing us to ever earlier times, the study of the elementary particle meets the study of the universe—cosmology and particle physics are one.

The essential thrust of this unification is twofold. In particle physics, the most energetic current accelerator experiments can resolve the structure of matter at a distance scale of order  $10^{-16}$  cm. But particle theorists boldly speculate about the properties of the fundamental interactions down to distance scales of order  $10^{-33}$  cm. We crave experimental evidence to constrain these speculations, but no accelerator in the foreseeable future will be sufficiently energetic to probe this very-short-distance physics directly. Instead, particle physicists look to the early universe to serve as their accelerator. The universe was once so hot as to probe the behavior of matter at exceedingly short distances, and we can hope to detect surviving relics of that fiery past in today's universe.

The other side of the coin is that ideas from particle physics can illuminate the central questions of cosmology. Among these questions: Where did the matter of the universe come from? Why is the universe so large, so nearly isotropic, and so nearly homogeneous. What explains the origin of galaxies, of clusters of galaxies, of superclusters? What is the nature of the “dark matter” that dominates the halos of spiral galaxies? Since the late 70's, particle physics has offered a wealth of appealing answers to these questions. The only trouble is that there are too many mutually contradictory answers; they cannot all be right.

A central theme of modern cosmology is the study of “large scale structure”—the way that the galaxies are distributed in the universe. The very early universe was much more

homogeneous than the present universe. But small inhomogeneities were present even then, and due to their gravitational attraction, these fluctuations in the matter density grew, eventually condensing into the galaxies and other structures that we see today. The most fundamental question about the formation of galaxies is: what was the origin of the initial perturbations that eventually grew? Recent measurements of the microwave radiation left over from the big bang allow us to estimate the size of the fluctuations—the typical variation of the density about its mean value was only about one part in  $10^5$ —and it is the task of fundamental theory to explain why this variation was so small.

Two major competing models for the origin of the density perturbations have been proposed. In the “inflationary” model, the perturbations arose from *quantum* fluctuations, and can be understood as a consequence of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. Indeed, these quantum fluctuations are almost certainly present at some level, but so far theorists have not offered any unambiguous prediction as to their magnitude. (The “natural” assumption seems to be that the fluctuations would be of order one, and we don’t understand why they should be so small as  $10^{-5}$ . The second model of the origin of large scale structure is the “cosmic string” model, and it provides the motivation and central focus for *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects* by Alexander Vilenkin and Paul Shellard. In this picture, the perturbations arose from a network of linear defects that were created during a phase transition that took place during the first  $10^{-37}$  seconds after the big bang. Vilenkin is one of the originators of this cosmic string scenario, and Shellard has been a leading contributor to its development. Their book is a remarkably complete and authoritative review of the broad range of physics issues underlying the scenario.

Cosmic strings are closely analogous to the vortex lines that are seen in laboratory experiments with type-II superconductors, but with two important differences: the cosmic strings are defects in the *vacuum* rather than in a bulk state of matter, and the strings have an incredibly small thickness of order  $10^{-30}$  cm. Correspondingly, the strings are enormously heavy—with a mass per unit length of order  $10^{22}$  g/cm, or in units better suited to astrophysical applications, about  $10^{10}$  solar masses per kiloparsec. These numbers are obtained under the assumption that cosmic strings are indeed responsible for the primordial density perturbations, by fitting to the cosmic microwave observations.

The chain of ideas connecting cosmic strings with the large scale structure of the universe is exceedingly intricate, and so Vilenkin and Shellard are compelled to cover a lot of terrain in this treatise. They discuss, for example, the classification of topological defects, the theory of phase transitions and of defect formation in a quench, the dynamics of strings and the interactions that occur when strings intersect, the gravitational effects of strings and how gravitational radiation modifies the evolution of the string network, and of course, the effect of strings on the microwave background and on the formation of structure.

Indeed, the physics of the cosmic string scenario for structure formation is so complicated that even after fifteen years of intensive study, it is difficult to extract precise predictions that can be compared with the observations of the astronomers. Even the qualitative picture of how the scenario works has evolved substantially, especially as a result of improved numerical simulations of the evolution of the string network. Vilenkin's original idea was that each galaxy was seeded by a closed loop of string, but the simulations showed

that the loops that branch off of the string network are smaller than originally assumed, so that this proposal became untenable. Another idea was that gravitational focusing behind a moving open string would cause matter to accrete onto a sheet in the string's wakes, enhancing the abundance of galaxies on the sheet. But the simulations showed that the open strings actually wiggle much more than originally expected, so that the wake picture also had to be modified. Current comparisons of the scenario with observation are still inconclusive, but the situation is bound to be clarified as both the simulations and the observations improve. Actually, the thing that surprised me most about this book is that so little is said about the confrontation of the scenario with the data. I suppose that the authors were worried that any detailed discussion would rapidly become obsolete.

The proposal that cosmic strings guided the formation of the large scale structure of the universe is boldly speculative, and like most speculative ideas, it is likely to be wrong. But there are several potential observational smoking guns that could provide persuasive evidence in support of the scenario within the next decade—strings could be detected either through their influence on the microwave background anisotropy or due to their gravitational lensing properties. Such a discovery would be extraordinarily exciting for both particle physicists and cosmologists: for the particle physicist, the universe would provide us with a glimpse of short-distance physics well beyond the standard model; for the cosmologist, the structure of matter at  $10^{-30}$  cm would explain the shape of the cosmos.

**John Preskill** *is Professor of Theoretical Physics at the California Institute of Technology. He has contributed to the theory of topological defects and the theory of the early universe, among other issues in fundamental physics.*