1 Free real scalar field

The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \int d^3x \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left(p(x)^2 + (\nabla \phi)^2 + m^2 \phi^2 \right)$$

Let us expand both ϕ and p in Fourier series:

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{2\omega(\mathbf{p})} \tilde{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \quad p(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{2\omega(p)} \tilde{p}(t, \mathbf{x}) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}.$$

where $\omega(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$. Then:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega(\mathbf{p}))^2} \left(|\tilde{p}(\mathbf{p})|^2 + |\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{p})|^2 \omega(\mathbf{p})^2 \right).$$

This is a Hamiltonian for an infinite collection of harmonic oscillators labeled by $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and energy $\omega(p)$. Introduce creation-annihilation operators:

$$a(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{p}) - i\phi(\mathbf{p})}{\omega(\mathbf{p})\sqrt{2}}, \quad a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{p^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}) + i\phi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})}{\omega(\mathbf{p})\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then:

$$H = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega(\mathbf{p})} \omega(\mathbf{p}) \left(a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})a(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

The last term in parentheses can be dropped (divergent vacuum energy). The operators a, a^{\dagger} satisfy:

$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')] = (2\pi)^3 2\omega(\mathbf{p})\delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'), \quad [a(\mathbf{p}), a(\mathbf{p}')] = 0.$$

The expression $2\omega(\mathbf{p})\delta^3(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}')$ is Lorenz-invariant, so this is a natural normalization of creation-annihilation operators in a relativistic theory.

So, as expected, the free scalar field describes noninteracting spinless bosonic particles with a relativistic energy-momentum relation $E(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$.

2 Free complex scalar field

Commutation relations:

$$\begin{split} & [\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), p(t, \mathbf{y})] = i\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \\ & [\phi(t, \mathbf{x})^{\dagger}, p(t, \mathbf{y})^{\dagger}] = i\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \\ & [\phi(t, \mathbf{x})^{\dagger}, p(t, \mathbf{y})] = 0, \\ & [\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), p(t, \mathbf{y})^{\dagger}] = 0, \\ & [\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \phi(t, \mathbf{y})] = 0, \\ & [\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \phi(t, \mathbf{y})^{\dagger}] = 0, \\ & [\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \phi(t, \mathbf{y})^{\dagger}] = 0, \\ & [p(t, \mathbf{x}), p(t, \mathbf{y})] = 0, \\ & [p(t, \mathbf{x}), p(t, \mathbf{y})] = 0, \\ & [p(t, \mathbf{x}), p(t, \mathbf{y})^{\dagger}] = 0, \\ & [p(t, \mathbf{x}), p(t, \mathbf{y})^{\dagger}] = 0. \end{split}$$

Here $p = \dot{\phi}^{\dagger}, p^{\dagger} = \dot{\phi}$. Hamiltonian:

$$H = \int d^3x \left(p p^{\dagger} + \partial_i \phi^{\dagger} \partial_i \phi + m^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right).$$

Let us show that these equations describe the bosonic Fock space for relativistic particles (with $E_{\mathbf{p}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$). Let us Fourier transform the scalar field ϕ :

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}(2\pi)^3} \tilde{\phi}(t, \mathbf{p}) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}.$$

The Klein-Gordon equation

$$(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2 + m^2)\phi = 0$$

gives an ordinary differential equation for $\tilde{\phi}(t, \mathbf{p})$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\phi}}{\partial t^2} = -(\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2) \tilde{\phi}.$$

The general solution is

$$\tilde{\phi}(t,\mathbf{p}) = e^{-iE_p t} a(\mathbf{p}) + e^{iE_p t} c(\mathbf{p}).$$

It will be convenient to rename $c(\mathbf{p}) = b(-\mathbf{p})^{\dagger}$. Then

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}(2\pi)^3} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}} e^{ip \cdot x} + b(\mathbf{p})^{\dagger} e^{-ip \cdot x} \right).$$

Similarly

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x})^{\dagger} = \int \frac{d^3 p}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}(2\pi)^3} \left(b_{\mathbf{p}} e^{ip \cdot x} + a(\mathbf{p})^{\dagger} e^{-ip \cdot x} \right).$$

We can invert these formulas and express $a, b, a^{\dagger}, b^{\dagger}$ in terms of $\phi, \dot{\phi}$ and $\phi^{\dagger}, \dot{\phi}^{\dagger}$. (This is an exercise). Then the commutation relations of $a, a^{\dagger}, b, b^{\dagger}$ turn out

$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q})] = (2\pi)^3 2 E_{\mathbf{p}} \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}), \qquad (1)$$

$$[b(\mathbf{p}), b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q})] = (2\pi)^3 2 E_{\mathbf{p}} \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}), \qquad (2)$$

with all other commutators vanishing. Thus it is natural to postulate the existence of the vacuum state $|0\rangle$, annihilated by all $a(\mathbf{p})$ and $b(\mathbf{p})$. Then the Hilbert space is the bosonic Fock space built on the sum of two copies of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Why two copies? We expected only one! Resolution: we have an additional quantum number which distinguishes *b*-particles from *a*-particles. The *b*-particles are actually anti-particles of *a*-particles! (see below).

Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}(2\pi)^3} E_{\mathbf{p}} \left(a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})a(\mathbf{p}) + a(\mathbf{p})a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}) + b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})b(\mathbf{p}) + b(\mathbf{p})b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}) \right).$$

Let us normal-order it:

$$H = V(2\pi)^{-3} \int d^3p E_{\mathbf{p}} + \dots$$

Thus the vacuum energy density is divergent. If we cut off the integral at $|\mathbf{p}| = \Lambda$, we find

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \frac{\Lambda^4}{8\pi^2}$$

This is the simplest example of an *ultraviolet divergence*.

3 Noether's theorem

(Reading: section 22, pp. 132-135).

Noether's theorem says that for every continuous symmetry of the action there is a current j_{μ} (vector-valued function made of fields and their derivatives) which satisfies

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0.$$

This implies that

$$Q = \int d^3x j^0(t, \mathbf{x})$$

is time-independent. I.e. it is a conserved charge. In the Hamiltonian formalism this is expressed as Q, H = 0, which upon quantization becomes [Q, H] = 0.

Let us derive the Noether theorem for a theory of scalar fields with a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\phi^a)$. Suppose the infinitesimal symmetry transformation is given by

$$\delta \phi^a = \epsilon \cdot v^a(\phi).$$

Consider now the same transformation, but with ϵ a function of x. Since the action is of first order in derivatives of ϕ , the variation of the action must be of the form

$$\delta S = \int d^4x j^\mu \partial_\mu \epsilon,$$

for some j^{μ} independent of ϵ . But on equations of motion this must vanish, for arbitrary ϵ . Therefore $\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0$.

Let us apply this procedure to the complex scalar field ϕ and the transformation

$$\delta \phi = i\epsilon \phi, \quad \delta \phi^{\dagger} = -i\epsilon \phi^{\dagger}.$$

The variation of the action is

$$\delta S = i \int d^4 x \partial_\mu \epsilon \left(-\phi^\dagger \partial^\mu \phi + \partial^\mu \phi^\dagger \phi \right).$$

Hence the current is

$$j_{\mu} = -i \left(\phi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \phi - \partial_{\mu} \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right).$$

What is the meaning of the corresponding charge, in terms of particles?

$$Q = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{k}}} \left(a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})a(\mathbf{k}) - b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})b(\mathbf{k}) \right).$$

I.e. it is the number of particles minus the number of anti-particles.

Let me consider another example: translational symmetry. Here

$$\delta\phi = \epsilon^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\phi.$$

Note that here ϵ has a vector index. Thus we expect

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \partial_\nu \epsilon^\mu T^\nu_\mu$$

for some tensor T. (It is called the stress-energy tensor). Let us determine T. For constant ϵ we have

$$\delta S = \int d^4 x \epsilon^\mu \partial_\mu \mathcal{L}.$$

This indeed vanishes for constant ϵ (by integration by parts), but does not vanish for nonconstant ϵ . But for nonconstant ϵ we also get other terms in the variation:

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \left(-\partial_\mu \epsilon^\mu \mathcal{L} + \partial_\mu \epsilon^\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \phi} \partial_\nu \phi \right).$$

Hence

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_{\mu} \phi} \partial_{\nu} \phi - \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} \mathcal{L}.$$

For the free scalar field, we get

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu} = -\partial^{\mu}\phi^{\dagger}\partial_{\nu}\phi + \partial^{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi^{\dagger} - \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\mathcal{L}.$$

For example:

$$T_0^0 = \partial_0 \phi^{\dagger} \partial_0 \phi + \nabla \phi^{\dagger} \nabla \phi + m^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi.$$

The corresponding "charge" is the energy (i.e. the Hamiltonian). Similarly,

$$T_i^0 = \partial_0 \phi^\dagger \partial_i \phi + \partial_i \phi^\dagger \partial_0 \phi.$$

The corresponding charge is minus the momentum. Indeed, after expressing in terms of a and b get

$$\int d^3x T_i^0 = -\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{k}}} k_i \left(a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})a(\mathbf{k}) + b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})b(\mathbf{k}) \right).$$

Starting from a symmetry, one can get a conserved charge. Conversely, starting from a conserved charge Q, one can try to get a symmetry transformation, by letting

$$\delta F = \{Q, F\}.$$

Then $\delta H = 0$, and δ commutes with time translations.

One can show directly that Q is the generator of symmetry transformations:

$$Q = -\int d^3x p_i \delta \phi^i, \quad \{Q, \phi^j\} = \delta \phi^j.$$

In quantum theory:

$$[Q,\phi^j] = -i\delta\phi^j.$$

A finite transformation is

$$\phi \to U^{-1}\phi U, \quad U = \exp(-itQ).$$

In relativistic field theory, we are interested in translations and Lorenz transformations. Together they form Poincare group:

$$x \to \Lambda x + a.$$

Generators of translations are momenta $P_{\mu} = \int d^3x T_{\mu}^0$. Lorenz transformations act by

$$\phi'(x) = \phi(\Lambda^{-1}x).$$

Infinitesimal transformation $\Lambda = 1 + \omega$ gives

$$\delta\phi = \frac{12}{\omega}_{\mu\nu} (x^{\mu}\partial^{\nu} - x^{\nu}\partial^{\mu})\phi.$$

We can achieve this by letting

$$M^{\mu\nu} = \int d^3x \left(x^{\mu} T^{0\nu} - x^{\nu} T^{0\mu} \right).$$

This suggests that the conserved current for the Lorenz transformations is

$$L^{\rho\mu\nu} = x^{\mu}T^{\rho\nu} - x^{\nu}T^{\rho\mu}.$$

It is conserved because $T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\nu\mu}$.

It is interesting to compute Poisson brackets or commutator of all these generators. For example:

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i(g^{\mu\rho}M^{\nu\sigma} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)) - (\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma).$$

This algebra characterizes infinitesimal Lorenz transformations. Infinitesimal rotations are

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} M^{jk},$$

infinitesimal boosts are $K_i = M^{i0}$. In terms of J and K we have

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k, \quad [J_i, K_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}K_j, \quad [K_i, K_j] = -i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k.$$

The other commutators are

$$[P^{\mu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i(g^{\mu\sigma}P^{\rho} - (\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma)).$$

4 The spin-statistics relation

Let us compute the commutator of $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(y)$ (in the real case). It vanishes outside the light-cone.

Now let us try to construct a similar theory based on the fermionic Fock space. The anticommutator comes out to be nonvanishing outside the lightcone, so this is unacceptable.

5 Scattering theory

First:

$$\int d^3x e^{-ikx} \phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\omega} a(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{2\omega} e^{2i\omega t} a^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}),$$
$$\int d^3x e^{-ikx} \partial_0 \phi = -\frac{i}{2} a(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{i}{2} e^{2i\omega t} a^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{k}).$$

Hence

$$a(\mathbf{k}) = \int d^3x e^{-ikx} \left(i\partial_0 \phi + \omega \phi \right) = i \int d^3x e^{-ikx} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \phi.$$